



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/592,013	06/12/2000	Hiroaki Yukawa	450100-02469	4202
20999	7590	01/09/2004	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			LE, KIMLIEN T	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2653		
DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/592,013	YUKAWA, HIROAKI
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kimlien T Le	2653	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 June 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ .
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: _____

Drawings

1. Figure 7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-15, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mori et al. (U.S. Patent 5,717,674).

With regard to claim 1, Mori et al. shows an optical head comprising:

a light emitter/detector for emitting a laser light towards a recording surface of an optical disc having information optically recorded thereon and detecting a return light component of the laser light reflected at the recording surface of the optical disc to detect at least a tracking error signal (Fig. 1, elements 1,2; See column 8, lines 25-30);

a diffraction grating provided between the light emitter/detector and the optical disc to split the laser light emitted from the light emitter/detector into at least three beams(Fig.1 , element 3; See column 8, lines 25-30);

a light converging optical system provided between the diffraction grating and optical disc to converge the lights split by the diffraction grating to the recording surface of the optical disc (Fig. 1, element 5; See column 10, lines 15-20);

the light emitter/detector comprising a first light source to emit a first light beam and a second light source to emit a second light beam having a shorter wavelength than the first light beam; and

the diffraction grating having formed therein a plurality of slits whose depth is selected so that the efficiency of diffraction of first-order light when the first light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating is higher than that when the second light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating (Fig.2 ; See column 8, lines 40-55).

With regard to claims 2, 8,14 and 20, Mori et al. shows an optical head as set forth in Claim 1, wherein the depth of the slits formed in the diffraction grating is selected so that the efficiency of diffraction of first-order light when the first light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating is higher than 5% while the efficiency of diffraction of first-order light when the second light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating is lower than 5% (Fig.2 ; See column 8, lines 40-55).

With regard to claims 3,9,15 and 21, Mori et al. shows an optical head as set forth in claim 1, wherein: the first light beam has a wavelength of approximately 785 ± 25 nm; and the second light beam has a wavelength of approximately 655 ± 25 nm (column 12, lines 10-25).

With regard to claim 7, Mori et al. shows an optical recording and/or reproducing apparatus comprising: means (inherent) for rotating an optical disc (CD) having information recorded thereon (inherent); an optical head (inherent) to emit a light towards a recording surface of the optical disc and to detect a return light from the optical disc; and a signal processing circuit (inherent) to process a signal detected by the optical head; the optical head comprising: a light emitter/detector for emitting a laser light towards a recording surface of an optical disc having information optically recorded thereon and detecting a return light component of the laser light reflected at the recording surface of the optical disc to detect at least a tracking error signal (Fig. 1, elements 1,2; See column 8, lines 25-30);

a diffraction grating provided between the light emitter/detector and the optical disc to split the laser light emitted from the light emitter/detector into at least three beams(Fig.1 , element 3; See column 8, lines 25-30);

a light converging optical system provided between the diffraction grating and optical disc to converge the lights split by the diffraction grating to the recording surface of the optical disc (Fig. 1, element 5; See column 10, lines 15-20);

the light emitter/detector comprising a first light source to emit a first light beam and a second light source to emit a second light beam having a shorter wavelength than the first light beam; and

the diffraction grating having formed therein a plurality of slits whose depth is selected so that the efficiency of diffraction of first-order light when the first light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating is higher than that when the second light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating (Fig.2 ; See column 8, lines 40-55).

With regard to claim 13, Mori et al. shows an optical head comprising:

a first light source (Fig. 1, elements 2; See column 8, lines 25-30) to emit a first light beam;

a second light source (Fig. 1, elements 1; See column 8, lines 25-30) spaced a predetermined distance from said first light source to emit a second light beam having a shorter wavelength than the first light beam;

a diffraction grating provided between the first/second light sources and an optical disc to split the laser light emitted from the first light source or second light source into at least three beams; and a diffraction grating provided between the light emitter/detector and the optical disc to split the laser light emitted from the light emitter/detector into at least three beams (Fig. 1, element 3; See column 8, lines 25-30);

a light converging optical system provided between the diffraction grating and optical disc to converge the lights split by the diffraction grating to the recording surface of the optical disc (Fig. 1, element 5; See column 10, lines 15-20);

wherein the diffraction grating having formed therein a plurality of slits whose depth is selected so that the efficiency of diffraction of first-order light when the first light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating is higher than that when the second light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating (Fig. 2; See column 8, lines 40-55).

With regard to claim 19, Mori et al. shows an optical recording and/or reproducing apparatus comprising: means (inherent) for rotating an optical disc (CD) having information recorded thereon (inherent); an optical head (inherent) to emit a light towards a recording surface of the optical disc and to detect a return light from the optical disc; and a signal processing

circuit (inherent) to process a signal detected by the optical head; the optical head comprising: a first light source (Fig. 1, elements 2; See column 8, lines 25-30) to emit a first light beam; a second light source (Fig. 1, elements 1; See column 8, lines 25-30) spaced a predetermined distance from said first light source to emit a second light beam having a shorter wavelength than the first light beam; a diffraction grating provided between the first/second light sources and an optical disc to split the laser light emitted from the first light source or second light source into at least three beams; and a diffraction grating provided between the light emitter/detector and the optical disc to split the laser light emitted from the light emitter/detector into at least three beams (Fig. 1, element 3; See column 8, lines 25-30);

a light converging optical system provided between the diffraction grating and optical disc to converge the lights split by the diffraction grating to the recording surface of the optical disc (Fig. 1, element 5; See column 10, lines 15-20);

wherein the diffraction grating having formed therein a plurality of slits whose depth is selected so that the efficiency of diffraction of first-order light when the first light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating is higher than that when the second light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating (Fig. 2; See column 8, lines 40-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6, 10-12, 16-18, 22-24 and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori et al. (U.S. Patent 5,717,674) in view of Brazas, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent 5,696749)

With regard to claims 4, 10, 16 and 22, Mori et al. shows all the features as described in claim 4, except that the first and second light sources and a photodetector detects a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc are mounted on a semiconductor substrate. However, Brazas, Jr. et al. teaches that the first and second light sources (Fig. 9, elements 40, 40a; See column 6, lines 55-60) and a photodetector (Fig. 9, element 68; See column 7, lines 1-6) detects a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc are mounted on a semiconductor substrate (Figs 9 and 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Mori et al. with the first and second light sources and a photodetector mounted on a semiconductor substrate as taught by Brazas, Jr. et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to provide Mori et al. with the first and second light sources and a photodetector mounted on a semiconductor substrate as taught by Brazas, Jr. et al., in order to detect a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc.

With regard to claim 25, Mori et al. shows an integrated optical module for emitting a laser light and detecting a return light component of the laser light, comprising: a first light source (Fig. 1, elements 2; See column 8, lines 25-30) to emit a first light beam; a second light source (Fig. 1, elements 1; See column 8, lines 25-30) spaced a predetermined distance from said first light source to emit a second light beam having a shorter wavelength than the first light beam; a diffraction grating provided between the first/second light sources and an optical disc to

split the laser light emitted from the first light source or second light source into at least three beams; and a diffraction grating provided between the light emitter/detector and the optical disc to split the laser light emitted from the light emitter/detector into at least three beams (Fig. 1, element 3; See column 8, lines 25-30); a light converging optical system provided between the diffraction grating and optical disc to converge the lights split by the diffraction grating to the recording surface of the optical disc (Fig. 1, element 5; See column 10, lines 15-20); wherein the diffraction grating having formed therein a plurality of slits whose depth is selected so that the efficiency of diffraction of first-order light when the first light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating is higher than that when the second light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating (Fig. 2; See column 8, lines 40-55), except that a housing which receives the first and second light sources and a photodetector which detects at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc; and an optical element placed on an opening of the housing; wherein the diffraction grating is disposed on one surface of the optical element. However, Brazas, Jr. et al. teaches that a housing which receives the first and second light sources (Fig. 11, elements 40, 40a; See column 6, lines 55-60) and a photodetector (Fig. 11, element 68; See column 7, lines 1-6) which detects at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc; and an optical element (Fig. 9, elements 42a; See column 6, lines 55-60) placed on an opening of the housing; wherein the diffraction grating (Fig. 9, elements 42; See column 6, lines 55-60) is disposed on one surface of the optical element. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Mori et al. with the housing and the optical element as taught by Brazas, Jr. et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to provide Mori et al. with with the housing and the optical element as taught by Brazas, Jr. et al., in order to receive the first and second light sources and to detect at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc.

With regard to claims 26-29, Mori et al. shows all the features as described in claim 26 including wherein the depth of the slits formed in the diffraction grating is selected so that the efficiency of diffraction of first-order light when the first light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating is higher than 5% while the efficiency of diffraction of first-order light when the second light beam is incident upon the diffraction grating is lower than 5% (Fig.2 ; See column 8, lines 40-55), except that a housing which receives the first and second light sources and a photodetector which detects at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc; and an optical element placed on an opening of the housing; wherein the diffraction grating is disposed on one surface of the optical element. However, Brazas, Jr. et al. teaches that a housing which receives the first and second light sources (Fig. 11, elements 40, 40a; See column 6, lines 55-60) and a photodetector (Fig. 11, element 68; See column 7, lines 1-6) which detects at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc; and an optical element (Fig. 9, elements 42a; See column 6, lines 55-60) placed on an opening of the housing; wherein the diffraction grating (Fig. 9, elements 42; See column 6, lines 55-60) is disposed on one surface of the optical element. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Mori et al. with the housing and the optical element as taught by Brazas, Jr. et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention would have been motivated to provide Mori et al. with with the housing and the optical element as taught by Brazas, Jr. et al., in order to receive the first and second light sources and to detect at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc.

With regard to claims 5, 11, 17 and 23, Mori et al. shows all the features as described in claim 5, except that a housing which receives the first and second light sources and a photodetector which detects at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc; and an optical element placed on an opening of the housing; wherein the diffraction grating is disposed on one surface of the optical element. However, Brazas, Jr. et al. teaches that a housing which receives the first and second light sources (Fig. 11, elements 40, 40a; See column 6, lines 55-60) and a photodetector (Fig. 11, element 68; See column 7, lines 1-6) which detects at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc; and an optical element (Fig. 9, elements 42a; See column 6, lines 55-60) placed on an opening of the housing; wherein the diffraction grating (Fig. 9, elements 42; See column 6, lines 55-60) is disposed on one surface of the optical element. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Mori et al. with the housing and the optical element as taught by Brazas, Jr. et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to provide Mori et al. with with the housing and the optical element as taught by Brazas, Jr. et al., in order to receive the first and second light sources and to detect at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc.

With regard to claims 6,12, 18 and 24, Mori et al. shows all the features as described in claim 6, except a housing which receives the first and second light sources and a photodetector which detects at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc; and an optical element placed on an opening of the housing; wherein the diffraction grating is disposed on one surface of the optical element and the optical element has a holographic element disposed on another surface thereof so that the return light component is guided to the photodetector. However, Brazas, Jr. et al. teaches that a housing which receives the first and second light sources (Fig. 11, elements 40, 40a; See column 6, lines 55-60) and a photodetector (Fig. 11, element 68; See column 7, lines 1-6) which detects at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc; and an optical element (Fig. 9, elements 42a; See column 6, lines 55-60) placed on an opening of the housing; wherein the diffraction grating (Fig. 9, elements 42; See column 6, lines 55-60) is disposed on one surface of the optical element. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Mori et al. with the housing and the optical element as taught by Brazas, Jr. et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to provide Mori et al. with the housing and the optical element as taught by Brazas, Jr. et al., in order to receive the first and second light sources and to detect at least a tracking error signal based on a return light component of the laser light reflected at the optical disc.

Cited References

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references are all related to optical disk apparatus.

Points of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimlien Le. whose telephone number is 703-305- 3498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8A.M to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on 703-305-6137. The fax phone number is 703-308-6606

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.